UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V.	0 N 440 00007 D II
Damian Garcia-De Leon Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00237-RJJ
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	- Findings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense describ	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentenc	e is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	m of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	·*
U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	
any felony that is not a crime of violence bu a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firea a failure to register under 18 U	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	ne date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre- person or the community. I further find that defen	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another adant has not rebutted that presumption.
•	ative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendance	
for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ce and the safety of the community.
	ative Findings (B)
	anger the safety of another person or the community.
	t of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted a evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	at the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and wo Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention 	
Part III – Direct	tions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 27, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge